

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,531	. 11/21/2003	Geir Ultveit Haugen	135271	3407	
Dean D. Small	7590 01/04/2007		EXAM	INER	
The Small Patent Law Group LLP			JAWORSKI,	JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J	
Suite 1611 611 Olive Stree	et	( <u>Y</u> )	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Saint Louis, MO 63102		•	3768	3768	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTHS		01/04/2007	DAT	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	A A.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/719,531	HAUGEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jaworski Francis J.	3768			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. lely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on clms?	7/19/06 :RCE.				
	action is non-final.				
·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 25 is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-25</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>5 - 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	hous been received				
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ul>		an No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<del></del>	Stage		
application from the International Bureau	·		0.090		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. ,			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5 – 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claims 5 and 6, it is unclear whether the claim embraces the previously recited subaperture as 'at least one further sub-aperture' or 'a further plurality of sub-apertures' akin to claim 8?

With respect to claim 7, it appears that this claim should depend in either case from claim 5 which introduces the feature of receive sub-aperture plurality.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 –4, 8 – 25 are allowed. [A minor typo Cl. 10 l. 3 – board – should be corrected.].Claims 5 - 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738.

FJJ:fjj

122506

Francia J. Kaworski Primary Examiner